

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,917	08/12/2004	Michael J. Hummel	13234-00013	4916
27144 75	90 06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
FOSTER, SWIFT, COLLINS & SMITH, P.C.			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
313 SOUTH WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MI 48933			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.11.011.0, 1.11			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/710,917	HUMMEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
,							
closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/12/2004</u>. 	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/710,917

Art Unit: 3637

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

(It is improper to use the word "means" in an abstract.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how stacked pallets of the disclosed invention would be the same thickness as one single pallet, since the top edging of the upper pallet would inherently extend

Application/Control Number: 10/710,917

Art Unit: 3637

above the feet of the lower nested pallet increasing the thickness of the unit. It is unclear how this arrangement would even be possible with the pallets disclosed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6, 13-16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For claims 1 and 13, "said first section" lacks antecedent basis. Also, "lattice-like" is indefinite, the structure either has a lattice configuration or doesn't.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fengels (4,095,769). Fengels teaches a pallet (Fig. 1) comprising: a plurality of sections (1,1') having upper, lower and side surfaces; rectangular channels (5) formed through one of the side surfaces of each section and into the section; and a plurality of rectangular elongated connectors (7) dimensioned to fit closely in (and secured to via screw fasteners (8)) the channels of mating sections. As for method

Application/Control Number: 10/710,917

Art Unit: 3637

claims 7-10 and 12, since Fengels teaches the structural limitations of the claims, the method steps would inherently be met.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. (The prior art fails to teach a pallet comprising: a plurality of single molded polymeric sections having upper, lower and side surfaces, channels formed through at least one of the side surfaces of each section and extending into the section; a plurality of integral supporting legs extending from the lower surfaces; the upper surfaces being formed by lattice-like structure of integrally molded intersecting members having an inverted U-shaped cross-section, the channels being formed in the intersection members; and a plurality of elongated connectors dimensioned to fit in the channels, each of the connectors extending between a channel of a first section and a channel of a second section.)

Claims 5, 11, 14-16, 18 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wilkens June 18, 2006

Page 5